Dear Mr. Coomers:

Thank you for your continued interest in Case 2012-00428, the administrative proceeding concerning Smart Grid standards and implementation.

Although the case was closed on April 13 of this year, your most recent e-mails regarding it will nevertheless be entered into the case record.

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) <u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>

**RECEIVED** By Kentucky PSC at 9:26 am, Jun 03, 2016 From:Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)To:"Dale Coomers"Subject:RE: update 2 RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428Date:Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:56:47 PM

I will place the entire, unedited correspondence into the comments portion of the case file

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) <u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>

From: Dale Coomers [mailto:dalecoomers@mail.com] Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:55 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: update 2 RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

put the below compete string in the comment section of the case, after u answer this email

also PSC could be sued for ot doing due dillegence and lying in the final order, as non smart meters (that dont do 1 and/or 2 way over powerlines) are bein manufactured in USA and abroad, so them putting they dont know as a legal defense hols no weight, shows they were too lazy to do basic research

Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 at 4:13 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "'Dale Coomers'" < Subject: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

Mr. Coomers:

This is the language from the PSC order (in the Commission's official words) relating to opt-out. Don't know what further explanation I can offer:

## Opt-Out

In the Executive Summary, the Joint Utilities state that requiring utilities to offer opt-out from smart meters "has potentially significant cost and operational impacts for utilities and customers" and that such requirements are generally not beneficial. They further note that allowing a customer to opt out of using a smart meter will inhibit the customer's ability to participate in and obtain timely information about usage. The Joint Utilities recommend that the Commission evaluate the issue of opting out on a case-by-case basis.

The Joint Utilities state that the two primary objections some customers raise about smart meters are that smart meters will adversely affect their health and that smart meters invade their privacy. In the Report, the Joint Utilities provide a brief rebuttal to each concern. In addition, the Commission notes that the AG states that very few independent scientific results have been produced demonstrating that smart meters are either unsafe or dangerous to human health.

To support their argument regarding the potential negative effects of allowing customers to opt out of smart meters, the Joint Utilities cite some of the potential costs and operational impacts in the Report.

In addition to the information provided in the Report, the Commission notes the issues identified in Farmers RECC's response to a Staff data request regarding the impact of opt-outs from AMI deployment:

• Metering: A utility would be required to purchase special meters that would not have the current AMI capability.

• Billing: A utility would be required to establish special meter reading routes and cycles to accommodate opt-out customers. Additional administrative time and other costs would be incurred to manage the billing for these customers.

• Manual meter reading: A utility would incur additional costs to dispatch meter readers to travel to, and read the meter of, each opt-out customer.

• Outage notification: Information on whether opt-out customers were being affected by service outages would also be limited to either the customer notifying the utility or through a personal visit.

• Voltage/Current system modeling: Opt-out customers would be more difficult to include in these types of studies due to the lack of data.

• System reliability/Blinks: Opt-out customers would no longer be a part of this trouble-shooting capability, as no data could be supplied from their meters. The Joint Utilities state that they did not address AMR metering in the Report. AMR meters only allow for one-way communication, and the Joint Utilities have defined the term "smart meter" as a meter that allows two-way communication. Therefore, AMR meters would not fall within their definition of a "smart meter." However, the Joint Utilities contend that no opt-out should be allowed for AMR meters and state that a number of utilities have already deployed AMR systems.

The Joint Utilities oppose opt-outs of any kind for digital meters with no communications capabilities because such meters function in a manner essentially identical to older electromechanical meters. They do not believe electromechanical meters are being manufactured domestically today. Therefore, they state that any opt-out from a non-communicating digital meter is impracticable at best. The AG recommends that both technical and informational opt-out should be available to customers, where infrastructure allows.

CAC recommends that if a utility offers opt-out alternatives, customers should not be penalized for choosing to opt out. In addition, CAC believes that the ability of utilities with smart meter deployments to instantaneously remotely disconnect customers could potentially have negative consequences for low-income customers which should be mitigated.

Due to the potential negative impact on the operational benefits of a Smart Grid, the Commission does not support meter opt-outs, whether they be from digital, AMR or AMI meters. However, almost all of the public comments submitted in this proceeding address concerns with smart meters from either a health or privacy perspective. Therefore, the Commission accepts the Joint Utilities' recommendation to consider optout on a case-by-case basis (or more precisely, on a utility-by-utility basis). Each utility will be able to determine the need for an opt-out provision and petition the Commission for consideration. The Commission believes that each utility can best determine the need for an opt-out provision and whether that the proposed opt-out provision will apply to digital, AMR, or AMI meters will be at the utility's discretion. The Commission finds that any opt-out provision should require those customers

that opt out to bear the cost related to that decision- through a one-time fee and/or a monthly charge, as appropriate.

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) <u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>

From: Dale Coomers [ Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:07 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

i did too say thwy were to be in the record, and so nice of you to do it AFTER final decion was made, so state can pretend like it never had all the facts, to do something they knew they were doing 10 years ago.

now I read the entire ruling BUT I want to har this specific part explained in your commisions words, the ability to optout or lack thereof by email

Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 at 5:12 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "'Dale Coomers'" < Subject: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

Mr. Coomers- You never indicated you intended the e-mails below as anything other than inquiries about the status of the case. The entire chain of e-mails will be placed into the public comment file.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov

From: Dale Coomers [mailto:dalecoomers@mail.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 4:09 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: RE: case 2012-00428 SO YOU NEVER ADDED MY COMMENTS BELOW I SEE IN PUBLIC RECORD, EVEDEINCE YOU ARE ILLEGALLY SUPRESSING FREE SPEECH

Please put this email into the comments file and share it with ALL the people in your company.....

ANOTHER fact the corrupt poloticians and corrupt corporations and the PSC (who does their bidding is ignoring) No mater IF SMART METERS ARE INSTALLED there is no reason for them to send signal wireleless

The reason they want to send it wireless is to capture voice conversations within 30 feet or more of meter (confirmed with 3 manufactures to that os what they are capable of) and bombard us with more radiation & interfere and when it doesnt onterfere capture all other wireless data from all peoples wireless devices and send that info in.

IF the corrupt parties above were not wanting these wireless devices for their pure evil intents and only wanted to save money and make it easier for companies, they would adopt SMART METERS THAT SEND ELECTRIC USEAGE BACK OVER THE ELECTRIC LIBNES BACK TO THE COMPANY.

YES THE TECH FOR THAT EXISTS AND HAS FOR YEARS ... EVER HEAR OF POWERLINE INTERNET...... THE SMART METERS WORKING BY WIRES WOULD DO ALL THE SAME AS WIRELESS (WITHOUT THE RADIATION AND LISTENING INTO CONVERSATIONS) and be easier to use than wireless cause POWERLINE INTERNET FOR THIS NARROW FOCUS WOULD WORK WELL

THE ABOVE FACTS NOBODY IS TALKING ABOUT CAUSE IT INTERFERES WITH THE PURE EVIL INTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED.

OOPS THEY ARE AND GUESS WHAT ALOT OF THEM WORK IN ELECTRIC COMPANIES ALL OVER THE WORLD read the posts

http://hardware.slashdot.org/story/11/11/24/0331206/smart-meters-wreaking-havocwith-home-electronics

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 at 3:12 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "'Dale Coomers'" Subject: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

Not yet

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov

From: Dale Coomers [ Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 3:12 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: case 2012-00428

any final ruling ?

Amazing an issue has taken 3+ years when all ones need to do is a few weeks of research and can see these devices negatively effect health and enviorment and are

only being pushed to spy on people

Sent: Monday, February 02, 2015 at 10:47 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "Dale Coomers" Subject: RE: case 2012-00428

The reason there is no ruling posted is because no ruling has been issued. Final briefs from the parties are not even due until the end of this month.

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S®4 Active™, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

------ Original message ------From: Dale Coomers Date:02/02/2015 9:09 PM (GMT-05:00) To: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" Subject: case 2012-00428

Typical dirty Govt trick having the hearings right before Christmas and then govt FALSEY CLAIMS SEE HARDLY ANYONE SHOWED UP, SO THEN THEY CROW, SEE TEY DONT CARE, THEN GOVT GOES AGANST WILL OF THE PEOPLE AND IMPLEMENTS WHAT THEY WANT TO DO.

SO BOTTOM LINE - THERE WAS NO RULING LISTED ON THE SITE, SO WHAT IS IT ON SMARTMETERS ? aka SPY DEVCES

 From:
 Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)

 To:
 "Dale Coomers"

 Subject:
 RE: update 1 RE: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

 Date:
 Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:56:02 PM

I will place the entire, unedited correspondence into the case file

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) <u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>

From: Dale Coomers Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:54 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: update 1 RE: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

put the below compete string in the comment section of the case, after u answer this email

Then the PSC has proven 1 of 2 things, they are either just mouthpieces for people who want to injure people more & spy on reg citizens and/or they want to destroy jobs by elimnating them all for computers...

cause them saying The PSC does not believe that opt-outs from any form of advanced metering (digital, AMR, or AMI) are either necessary or desirable. shows they are either ignorant which means they are not qualified for the jobs they hold, or they are monsters doing the bidding of a few people that dont want people to be healtthy and/or have jobs.

cause smart meters make us more vunerable to all that and more vunerable to the pscho nuts who hack. which if anyones house gets hacked by a hacker, then PSC has opened them selves to legal liability both FINANCIAL and other legal liabilities.

and real convientet the order did not give the consumer ay relief to specifically fil a PSC case to force the utilities to let individual people optout

also interesting you never responded to the email, where i layed out how the whole order can legally be thrown out IF can find a jusge who is not on the take.

Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 at 4:37 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "'Dale Coomers'" Subject: RE: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

The language below is the PSC's final word on the matter. Simply put, it says the following:

1. The PSC does not believe that opt-outs from any form of advanced metering (digital, AMR, or AMI) are either necessary or desirable.

- 2. However, given the desire of the electric utility companies to have the flexibility to offer optouts if the utility feels the need to respond to public concerns, the PSC will consider opt-out programs on a utility-by-utility basis.
- 3. It will be up to each utility to decide whether to offer opt-outs from certain types of meters and how it will insure that the customers choosing to opt out pay for any resulting costs

So the PSC is neither requiring nor forbidding opt-outs. That's pretty much it

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) <u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>

From: Dale Coomers [m Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:21 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

there are 3 pdf's that say final order, and they all have different optout language, so in laymens terms what it means, cause that is key, i know legal terms (but to explain it to nonlegl ease knowing eople, i want psc laymen words to use)

cause based on waht commision wrote i found a "hundred" different ways to invalidate the whole order to using RFID smart meters. i will give you ahint, if peopl can opt out and use "dumb meters" on their property due to health or/and privacy concerns, there optout then legalizes the dismisall / nonuse of ALL smart meters withing 500 feet due to the same concerns, cause ALL RFID meters installed within that distance can infringe on the optouters health and privacy within that 500 foot range......

the reason you neve rhad an opt out for the 2 way powerline based electricity reading meters, cause legally a person could buy a device and install in their home to discble the talkback feature over powerline.

Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 at 4:13 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "Dale Coomers'" Subject: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

Mr. Coomers:

This is the language from the PSC order (in the Commission's official words) relating to optout. Don't know what further explanation I can offer:

## Opt-Out

In the Executive Summary, the Joint Utilities state that requiring utilities to offer opt-out from smart meters "has potentially significant cost and operational impacts for

utilities and customers" and that such requirements are generally not beneficial. They further note that allowing a customer to opt out of using a smart meter will inhibit the customer's ability to participate in and obtain timely information about usage. The Joint Utilities recommend that the Commission evaluate the issue of opting out on a case-by-case basis.

The Joint Utilities state that the two primary objections some customers raise about smart meters are that smart meters will adversely affect their health and that smart meters invade their privacy. In the Report, the Joint Utilities provide a brief rebuttal to each concern. In addition, the Commission notes that the AG states that very few independent scientific results have been produced demonstrating that smart meters are either unsafe or dangerous to human health.

To support their argument regarding the potential negative effects of allowing customers to opt out of smart meters, the Joint Utilities cite some of the potential costs and operational impacts in the Report.

In addition to the information provided in the Report, the Commission notes the issues identified in Farmers RECC's response to a Staff data request regarding the impact of opt-outs from AMI deployment:

• Metering: A utility would be required to purchase special meters that would not have the current AMI capability.

• Billing: A utility would be required to establish special meter reading routes and cycles to accommodate opt-out customers. Additional administrative time and other costs would be incurred to manage the billing for these customers.

• Manual meter reading: A utility would incur additional costs to dispatch meter readers to travel to, and read the meter of, each opt-out customer.

• Outage notification: Information on whether opt-out customers were being affected by service outages would also be limited to either the customer notifying the utility or through a personal visit.

• Voltage/Current system modeling: Opt-out customers would be more difficult to include in these types of studies due to the lack of data.

• System reliability/Blinks: Opt-out customers would no longer be a part of this trouble-shooting capability, as no data could be supplied from their meters. The Joint Utilities state that they did not address AMR metering in the Report. AMR meters only allow for one-way communication, and the Joint Utilities have defined the term "smart meter" as a meter that allows two-way communication. Therefore, AMR meters would not fall within their definition of a "smart meter." However, the Joint Utilities contend that no opt-out should be allowed for AMR meters and state that a number of utilities have already deployed AMR systems.

The Joint Utilities oppose opt-outs of any kind for digital meters with no communications capabilities because such meters function in a manner essentially identical to older electromechanical meters. They do not believe electromechanical meters are being manufactured domestically today. Therefore, they state that any opt-out from a non-communicating digital meter is impracticable at best. The AG recommends that both technical and informational opt-out should be

available to customers, where infrastructure allows.

CAC recommends that if a utility offers opt-out alternatives, customers should not

be penalized for choosing to opt out. In addition, CAC believes that the ability of utilities with smart meter deployments to instantaneously remotely disconnect customers could potentially have negative consequences for low-income customers which should be mitigated.

Due to the potential negative impact on the operational benefits of a Smart Grid, the Commission does not support meter opt-outs, whether they be from digital, AMR or AMI meters. However, almost all of the public comments submitted in this proceeding address concerns with smart meters from either a health or privacy perspective. Therefore, the Commission accepts the Joint Utilities' recommendation to consider optout on a case-by-case basis (or more precisely, on a utility-by-utility basis). Each utility will be able to determine the need for an opt-out provision and petition the Commission for consideration. The Commission believes that each utility can best determine the need for an opt-out provision and whether that the proposed opt-out provision will apply to digital, AMR, or AMI meters will be at the utility's discretion.

The Commission finds that any opt-out provision should require those customers that opt out to bear the cost related to that decision- through a one-time fee and/or a monthly charge, as appropriate.

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) <u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>

From: Dale Coomers [ Sent: Thursday, June 02, 2016 4:07 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

i did too say thwy were to be in the record, and so nice of you to do it AFTER final decion was made, so state can pretend like it never had all the facts, to do something they knew they were doing 10 years ago.

now I read the entire ruling BUT I want to har this specific part explained in your commisions words, the ability to optout or lack thereof by email

Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 at 5:12 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "'Dale Coomers'" Subject: RE: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

Mr. Coomers- You never indicated you intended the e-mails below as anything other than inquiries about the status of the case. The entire chain of e-mails will be placed into the public comment file.

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov

From: Dale Coomers Sent: Wednesday, January 06, 2016 4:09 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

SO YOU NEVER ADDED MY COMMENTS BELOW I SEE IN PUBLIC RECORD, EVEDEINCE YOU ARE ILLEGALLY SUPRESSING FREE SPEECH

Please put this email into the comments file and share it with ALL the people in your company.....

ANOTHER fact the corrupt poloticians and corrupt corporations and the PSC (who does their bidding is ignoring) No mater IF SMART METERS ARE INSTALLED there is no reason for them to send signal wireleless

The reason they want to send it wireless is to capture voice conversations within 30 feet or more of meter (confirmed with 3 manufactures to that os what they are capable of) and bombard us with more radiation & interfere and when it doesnt onterfere capture all other wireless data from all peoples wireless devices and send that info in.

IF the corrupt parties above were not wanting these wireless devices for their pure evil intents and only wanted to save money and make it easier for companies, they would adopt SMART METERS THAT SEND ELECTRIC USEAGE BACK OVER THE ELECTRIC LIBNES BACK TO THE COMPANY.

YES THE TECH FOR THAT EXISTS AND HAS FOR YEARS ... EVER HEAR OF POWERLINE INTERNET...... THE SMART METERS WORKING BY WIRES WOULD DO ALL THE SAME AS WIRELESS (WITHOUT THE RADIATION AND LISTENING INTO CONVERSATIONS) and be easier to use than wireless cause POWERLINE INTERNET FOR THIS NARROW FOCUS WOULD WORK WELL

THE ABOVE FACTS NOBODY IS TALKING ABOUT CAUSE IT INTERFERES WITH THE PURE EVIL INTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES INVOLVED.

OOPS THEY ARE AND GUESS WHAT ALOT OF THEM WORK IN ELECTRIC COMPANIES ALL OVER THE WORLD read the posts

http://hardware.slashdot.org/story/11/11/24/0331206/smart-meters-wreakinghavoc-with-home-electronics

Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 at 3:12 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "'Dale Coomers'" Subject: RE: RE: case 2012-00428

Not yet

Andrew Melnykovych

Director of Communications Kentucky Public Service Commission 502-782-2564 (direct) or 502-564-3940 (switchboard) 502-330-5981 (cell) Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov

From: Dale Coomers [ Sent: Thursday, May 21, 2015 3:12 PM To: Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC) Subject: Re: RE: case 2012-00428

any final ruling ?

Amazing an issue has taken 3+ years when all ones need to do is a few weeks of research and can see these devices negatively effect health and enviorment and are only being pushed to spy on people

Sent: Monday, February 02, 2015 at 10:47 PM From: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" <<u>Andrew.Melnykovych@ky.gov</u>> To: "Dale Coomers" Subject: RE: case 2012-00428

The reason there is no ruling posted is because no ruling has been issued. Final briefs from the parties are not even due until the end of this month.

Sent via the Samsung GALAXY S®4 Active™, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

------ Original message ------From: Dale Coomers Date:02/02/2015 9:09 PM (GMT-05:00) To: "Melnykovych, Andrew (PSC)" Subject: case 2012-00428

Typical dirty Govt trick having the hearings right before Christmas and then govt FALSEY CLAIMS SEE HARDLY ANYONE SHOWED UP, SO THEN THEY CROW, SEE TEY DONT CARE, THEN GOVT GOES AGANST WILL OF THE PEOPLE AND IMPLEMENTS WHAT THEY WANT TO DO.

SO BOTTOM LINE - THERE WAS NO RULING LISTED ON THE SITE, SO WHAT IS IT ON SMARTMETERS ? aka SPY DEVCES

\*Honorable Iris G Skidmore 415 W. Main Street Suite 2 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601

\*Taylor County R.E.C.C. Taylor County R.E.C.C. 625 West Main Street P. O. Box 100 Campbellsville, KY 42719

\*David S Samford Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40504

\*Big Sandy R.E.C.C. Big Sandy R.E.C.C. 504 11th Street Paintsville, KY 41240-1422

\*Farmers R.E.C.C. Farmers R.E.C.C. 504 South Broadway P. O. Box 1298 Glasgow, KY 42141-1298

\*Jennifer Black Hans Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, In Fleming-Mason Energy Cooperative, Inc. 1449 Elizaville Road P. O. Box 328 Flemingsburg, KY 41041 \*Kent Chandler Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General Utility & Rate 1024 Capital Center Drive Suite 200 Frankfort, KENTUCKY 40601-8204

\*Kentucky Power Company Kentucky Power Company 101 A Enterprise Drive P. O. Box 5190 Frankfort, KY 40602

\*Kenergy Corp. Kenergy Corp. 6402 Old Corydon Road P. O. Box 18 Henderson, KY 42419

\*Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. 139 East Fourth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

\*Nolin R.E.C.C. Nolin R.E.C.C. 411 Ring Road Elizabethtown, KY 42701-6767

\*South Kentucky R.E.C.C. South Kentucky R.E.C.C. 925-929 N Main Street P. O. Box 910 Somerset, KY 42502-0910

\*Atmos Energy Corporation Atmos Energy Corporation 3275 Highland Pointe Drive Owensboro, KY 42303 \*Mark David Goss Goss Samford, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325 Lexington, KENTUCKY 40504

\*Honorable Michael L Kurtz Attorney at Law Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry 36 East Seventh Street Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OHIO 45202

\*Meade County R.E.C.C. Meade County R.E.C.C. P. O. Box 489 Brandenburg, KY 40108-0489

\*Salt River Electric Cooperative Corp Salt River Electric Cooperative Corp. 111 West Brashear Avenue P. O. Box 609 Bardstown, KY 40004

\*Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corp. 1201 Lexington Road P. O. Box 990 Nicholasville, KY 40340-0990

\*Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. 2640 Ironworks Road P. O. Box 748 Winchester, KY 40392-0748

\*Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. Highway 25E P. O. Box 440 Gray, KY 40734 \*East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. 4775 Lexington Road P. O. Box 707 Winchester, KY 40392-0707

\*Inter-County Energy Cooperative Corp Inter-County Energy Cooperative Corporation 1009 Hustonville Road P. O. Box 87 Danville, KY 40423-0087

\*Jackson Energy Cooperative Corporati Jackson Energy Cooperative Corporation 115 Jackson Energy Lane McKee, KY 40447

\*Licking Valley R.E.C.C. Licking Valley R.E.C.C. P. O. Box 605 271 Main Street West Liberty, KY 41472

\*Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc. Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc. 8205 Highway 127 North P. O. Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359

\*Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc. Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc. 620 Old Finchville Road Shelbyville, KY 40065

\*Grayson R.E.C.C. Grayson R.E.C.C. 109 Bagby Park Grayson, KY 41143 \*Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. 2001 Mercer Road P. O. Box 14241 Lexington, KY 40512-4241

\*Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. 3617 Lexington Road Winchester, KY 40391

\*Big Rivers Electric Corporation Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street P. O. Box 24 Henderson, KY 42420

\*Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation 2900 Irvin Cobb Drive P. O. Box 4030 Paducah, KY 42002-4030

\*Kentucky Utilities Company Kentucky Utilities Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010

\*Louisville Gas and Electric Company Louisville Gas and Electric Company 220 W. Main Street P. O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232-2010